Sexual assault happens with frequency both among the general public and on college campuses. Official data and self-report surveys have demonstrated that, among the general population, 1 in 6 women and 1 in 33 men will experience an attempted or completed rape in their lifetime (Tjaden & Thoennes, 2000). On college campuses, women face increased odds of victimization, where between 20 to 25% of women will experience unwanted sexual contact or attempted/completed rape during their time in college (Koss et al., 1987; Fisher, Turner, & Cullen, 2000; Franklin, 2010). This vulnerability to victimization has prompted widespread efforts toward prevention and response to sexual assault. The current issue of the Sexual Assault series provides an overview of sexual assault, prevalence data, perpetrator characteristics, risk factors and consequences of victimization for survivors.

**What is Sexual Assault?**

Sexual assault is a broad term used to describe a wide range of sexually violating experiences, including coerced or unwanted sexual contact, attempted and completed intoxicated or drug-induced rape, attempted or completed incapacitated rape, and force or threats of force that produce attempted or completed rape. Legal definitions have evolved over time to be more inclusive in the experiences that qualify as sexual assault, though statutes vary by jurisdiction. Currently, the Uniform Crime Report (UCR) definition, updated in 2012, states that rape is “penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus with any body part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of another person without the consent of the victim” (Federal Bureau of Investigation, n.d.). Texas state statute defines sexual assault as “the penetration of the anus or sexual organ of another person by any means, without that person’s consent; the penetration of the mouth of another person by the sexual organ of the actor, without that person’s consent; or causing the sexual organ of another person, without that person’s consent, to contact or penetrate the mouth, anus, or sexual organ of another person” (Texas Sexual Assault, Sec. 22.011). Scholars and academics have captured sexually assaultive experiences through the use of descriptions that detail behaviors that meet legal definitions, rather than using the triggering terms “rape” or “sexual assault” to limit the bias associated with these terms that could inhibit reporting (Koss et al., 2007).

**Sexual Assault Prevalence and Reporting**

The prevalence of sexual assault has been of interest to scholars, academics, policy makers, and state coalitions for decades, though widespread analysis has historically depended upon the Uniform Crime Reports (UCR) and more recently, the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS). In 2015, the UCR recorded 90,185 incidents of rape reported to law enforcement nationally. It is important to note that this figure does not include unreported rapes. Even so, 2015 official data has demonstrated a 6.3% increase from the 2014 UCR report of 84,864 rape incidents. Additional analyses have focused on college campus environments due to the high risk, hypersexualized, and insular nature of these institutional spaces (Schwartz & DeKeseredy, 1997; Franklin, 2016). Indeed, the pervasiveness of sexual assault on college campuses is substantial. Estimates have suggested that 20 to 25% of college women will experience attempted or completed rape during their tenure in college (Koss et al., 1987; Franklin, 2010; Fisher, Cullen & Turner, 2000), and 1 in 17 men will experience a form of sexual violence as university students (Krebs, Lindquist, Warner, Fisher, & Martin, 2007).
In spite of the frequency with which these crimes occur, rape continues to be the most underreported crime (Bureau of Justice Statistics, 2015; Fisher et al., 2000). According to the NCVS (2015), less than 32% of rapes and sexual assaults were reported to police agencies. Many factors inhibit a sexual assault disclosure. These include the type of assailant, the presence of weapons or demonstrable harm, whether victims label the assault as a “real rape,” and the supportive response (or lack thereof) afforded to a victim following her/his disclosure. Stranger rapes, incidents involving weapons, and those characterized by visible injury are misconstrued as “real rape” and are more likely to be reported to authorities as compared to sexual assault perpetrated by an acquaintance, without a weapon, and involving alcohol.

Who Are the Perpetrators?

Contrary to commonly held misperceptions surrounding sexual assault, more than 60% of sexual assaults are perpetrated by an acquaintance, friend, or partner (Bureau of Justice Statistics, 2015). The Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) reported, for example, that among college-aged women from 1995 to 2013, 80% of perpetrators had a previous relationship with the victim. Additionally, 2012 data from the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) noted that approximately 86% of sexual assault perpetrators targeted women with whom they were acquainted. The Department of Justice (2013) has also identified that more than 90% of sexual assaults did not involve the use of a deadly weapon, such as a gun or knife. Data from self-report surveys administered to college students has consistently demonstrated that from 75 to 90% of victims are assaulted by someone with whom they are acquainted (Fisher et al., 2000; Matlin, 1993) and approximately 50% have involved alcohol use by the perpetrator, the victim, or both (Abbey, 2002). These characteristics have biased general views of “date” or “acquaintance” rape, particularly on college campuses, as poor decision-making, regretful behavior, or “bad sex” rather than as serious victimization experiences worthy of criminal justice intervention.

Lisak and Miller (2002) have noted the degree to which sexual assault on college campuses goes unreported so that rapists remain “undetected.” They have described how college men who sexually assault women strategically plan their predatory behavior by selecting female acquaintances, using alcohol, and refraining from gratuitous violence—thus eliminating the types of physical evidence that would corroborate the survivor’s story and uphold the prosecution of such cases in formal court proceedings (Lisak and Miller, 2002). Their analysis of 1,882 college men identified 120 men, or 6.4% of their sample, who had engaged in behavior that met the legal definition of rape. Among this subsample of rapists, 76 men (63.3% of the rapists) were repeat offenders, who reported an average of 6 rapes each. Additionally, Lisak and Miller (2002) highlighted the many ways in which these undetected college campus perpetrators are similar to incarcerated sex offenders in that they are repeat offenders who engage in a wide range of interpersonal violence, including battery, physical abuse, child sexual abuse, sexual assault, and rape. Lisak and Miller (2002) concluded that undetected rapists on college campuses are to be taken seriously, despite prevailing stereotypes that suggest otherwise.

Risk Factors for Victimization

In assessing sexual assault vulnerability, research has focused on official and self-report data to identify important developmental and situational risk factors that may increase an individual’s likelihood for victimization. Sex has been the most robust predictor as women make up 94% percent of rape and sexual assault victims (Bureau of Justice Statistics, 2002). Age has also been consistently correlated with sexual assault victimization. Specifically, women between the ages of 18 to 24 years old have faced the highest risk for sexual assault (Sinozich & Langton, 2014), in part because this group of women are often involved in social functions that involve mate selection, likely with limited guardianship, and face significant pressure for intimacy among potential partners (Franklin, 2013). Developmental risk factors include early engagement in sexual activity and a childhood history of physical and/or sexual abuse (Centers for Disease and Control, 2016). Indeed, women who have experienced sexual victimization during childhood face increased risk for revictimization due to maladjustment, poor psychological functioning, and limited exposure to healthy intimate relationships that stem from previous abuse (Gidycz, Coble, Latham, & Layman, 1993; Trujillo, 2011). Situational risk factors include drug and alcohol exposure, which decreases inhibitions, increases expectancies, and exacerbates sexual miscommunication (Abbey, 2002). Further, being isolated and alone together (Muehlenhard & Linton, 1987) and misconceptions about the way a “typical date” should end (Abbey, McAuslan, & Ross, 1998; Franklin, 2013) have also obstructed a would-be victim’s ability to assertively respond and interrupt attempts at sexual coercion or force.

Consequences of Victimization

Sexual assault, regardless of perpetrator type or tactic, is a life-changing event that produces detrimental and far-reaching consequences for a survivor’s mental and physical health. Survivors of sexual assault may experience both deleterious psychological and physiological health outcomes following the assault. Negative psychological effects can include anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, and elevated fear and startle responses. Physical health sequelae range from migraine headaches, sleep interruptions, sexual dysfunction, appetite abnormalities, and gastrointestinal problems (Mason & Lodrick, 2013; Ullman and Brecklin, 2003). In addition, victims of sexual assault may be at risk for unwanted pregnancy and sexually transmitted diseases or infections.
Awareness and Education

Communities, advocacy groups, and university campuses have long focused efforts on combatting sexual violence through awareness-raising campaigns. Earliest efforts to educate the public and empower survivors have included Take Back the Night marches that occurred in San Francisco and New York in 1978. These marches protested sexual violence and honored the experiences of survivors. In the late 1980s, activists from the National Coalition Against Sexual Assault (NCASA) dedicated a week in April to combatting and raising awareness for sexual assault. In 2001, efforts from NCASA, in collaboration with state coalitions across the country, culminated in the national recognition of April as Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM) (NSVRC, n.d.). While efforts for increasing awareness are ongoing, SAAM draws attention to sexual violence occurring in communities and highlights evidence-based prevention strategies.

Currently, the Texas Association Against Sexual Assault (TAASA) is endorsing “Break the Box,” a media-based awareness campaign with the goal of ending sexual violence and gender inequality throughout the state by targeting youth and encouraging positive bystander intervention. Programming consists of a short video, discussion, activity guide and posters to convey messages intolerant to violence. For more information on TAASA’s “Break the Box” campaign, please see www.taasa.org/about/primary-prevention.
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Resources
SAAF House – Huntsville family violence and sexual assault shelter
   www.saafehouse.org  Office 936-291-3529; 24-hour hotline 936-291-3369
Montgomery County Women’s Center
   www.mcwcthemontgomerycounty.org  Office 281-292-4115; 24-hour hotline 936-441-7273
Texas Association Against Sexual Assault – Information on ending sexual violence in Texas
   www.taasa.org
The National Sexual Assault Telephone Hotline
   800-656-HOPE (4673)
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